

















Methodology	East Car <u>olina</u>
 Natural Environment Installation of 29 monitoring wells in Surficial Groundwater monitoring Groundwater modeling 	aquifer
 Stakeholders Pre and Post content tests Pre and Post participation surveys Focus Groups Other activities? 	
Geological Sciences	10



Recruitment	East Carolina
 Primary Partners North Carolina Coastal Federation Town of Pine Knoll Shores Town of Emerald Isle Town of Atlantic Beach The Trinity Center and other property owners NC Aquarium at Pine Knoll Shores Secondary Partners Citizen Scientists Target: 10 volunteers for 20 wells Recruited: 8 volunteers for 15 wells Participated: 7 volunteers for 12 wells 	
Geological Sciences	12





















Seal level- rise scenario	Impaired Area (km²)	Unimpaired Area (km²)	Proportion of impaired area (%)	Proportion of unimpaired area (%)	
0.2 m	4.8	23.4	16.9	83.1	
0.4 m	5.9	22.3	20.9	79.1	
0.6 m	6.8	21.4	24.1	75.9	
0.8 m	7.7	20.5	27.4	72.6	
1.0 m	9.1	19.2	32.1	67.9	
1.2 m	10.1	18.1	35.8	64.2	
1.4 m	19.2	9.1	67.9	32.1	

Seal level-riseImpaired impaired area (%)Seal level- rise scenarioImpaired Area (km²)Proportion of impaired area (%)0.2 m2.27.80.2 m2.69.10.4 m3.612.70.4 m2.38.30.6 m3.612.70.6 m3.211.40.8 m4.816.90.8 m310.61.0 m6.121.71.2 m414.1			Marine		Groundwater			
0.2 m2.27.80.2 m2.69.10.4 m3.612.70.4 m2.38.30.6 m3.612.70.6 m3.211.40.8 m4.816.90.8 m310.61.0 m6.121.71.0 m2.910.41.2 m6.121.71.2 m414.1	Seal level scen	l-rise ario	Impaired Area (km²)	Proportion of impaired area (%)	Seal level- rise scenario	Impaired Area (km²)	Proportion of impaired area (%)	
0.4 m 3.6 12.7 0.4 m 2.3 8.3 0.6 m 3.6 12.7 0.6 m 3.2 11.4 0.8 m 4.8 16.9 0.8 m 3 10.6 1.0 m 6.1 21.7 1.0 m 2.9 10.4 1.2 m 6.1 21.7 1.2 m 4 14.1	0.2 r	n	2.2	7.8	0.2 m	2.6	9.1	
0.6 m 3.6 12.7 0.6 m 3.2 11.4 0.8 m 4.8 16.9 0.8 m 3 10.6 1.0 m 6.1 21.7 1.0 m 2.9 10.4 1.2 m 6.1 21.7 1.2 m 4 14.1	0.4 r	n	3.6	12.7	0.4 m	2.3	8.3	
0.8 m 4.8 16.9 0.8 m 3 10.6 1.0 m 6.1 21.7 1.0 m 2.9 10.4 1.2 m 6.1 21.7 1.2 m 4 14.1	0.6 r	n	3.6	12.7	0.6 m	3.2	11.4	
1.0 m 6.1 21.7 1.0 m 2.9 10.4 1.2 m 6.1 21.7 1.2 m 4 14.1	0.8 r	n	4.8	16.9	0.8 m	3	10.6	
1.2 m 6.1 21.7 1.2 m 4 14.1	1.0 r	n	6.1	21.7	1.0 m	2.9	10.4	
	1.2 r	n	6.1	21.7	1.2 m	4	14.1	
1.4 m 7.9 27.8 1.4 m 11.3 40.1	1.4 r	n	7.9	27.8	1.4 m	11.3	40.1	

	Marine			Groundwa	ater	
Seal level-rise scenario	Impaired Area (km²)	Proportion of impaired area (%)	Seal level- rise scenario	Impaired Area (km²)	Proportion of impaired area (%)	
0.2 m	2.2	7.8	0.2 m	2.6	9.1	
0.4 m	3.6	12.7	0.4 m	2.3	8.3	
0.6 m	3.6	12.7	0.6 m	3.2	11.4	
0.8 m	4.8	16.9	0.8 m	3	10.6	
1.0 m	6.1	21.7	1.0 m	2.9	10.4	
1.2 m	6.1	21.7	1.2 m	4	14.1	
1.4 m	7.9	27.8	1.4 m	11.3	40.1	

Conclusions	East Carolina
 Results from groundwater and geospatial modeling in the land that could be lost to groundwater inundation large as, if not larger, than the land that could be los inundation under projected sea-level rise scenarios of over the next 100 years. 	dicate that may be as t to marine f 0.2 – 1.4 m
 The effects of groundwater inundation may therefore greater than those of marine inundation (with losses marine inundation and 40% for groundwater inundation 	be far much of 28% for on).
 Groundwater inundation should therefore play an imp in future discussions about how climate change and s may impact groundwater resources in coastal commu- 	oortant role ea-level rise inities.
 Citizen Science an effective way of engaging people t in science. 	o take part
Geological Sciences	26









